Case 1:21-cv-01576-JGK Document 82 Filed 02/06/23 Page 1 of 2 Case 1:21-cv-01576-JGK Document 72 Filed 02/03/23 Page 1 of 2

Timothy J. McLaughlin Direct Dial: (617) 880-4563 timothy.mclaughlin@lw.com

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

APPLICATION GRANTED SO ORDERED

February 3, 2023

ơnn G. Koeltl, U.S.D.J.

Honorable John G. Koeltl United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007-1312 200 Clarendon Street Boston, Massachusetts 02116 Tel: +1.617.948.6000 Fax: +1.617.948.6001 www.lw.com

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES

Austin Milan
Beijing Munich
Boston New York
Brussels Orange County

Century City Paris
Chicago Riyadh
Dubai San Diego
Düsseldorf San Francisco
Frankfurt Seoul
Hamburg Shanghai

Hong Kong Silicon Valley
Houston Singapore
London Tel Aviv
Los Angeles Tokyo

Madrid Washington, D.C.

Re: Atos Syntel Inc. et al. v. Ironshore Indemnity Inc., Case No. 1:21-cv-01576-JGK

Dear Judge Koeltl:

On behalf of Plaintiffs Atos Syntel Inc., Syntel Holding (Mauritius) Ltd., and Syntel LLC ("Plaintiffs" or "Syntel"), we write pursuant to Rule VI.A.2 of the Court's Individual Practices to request the permanent sealing of certain papers that we will be filing today in support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant Ironshore's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Pursuant to the Local Rules, we will also publicly file redacted versions of the following Exhibits to my Declaration in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Summary Judgment ("McLaughlin Dec."):

- Exhibit 3 (bates-stamped Syntel-00039100-02); and
- Exhibit 7 (Plaintiffs' Supplemental Objections and Responses to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories).

Exhibit 3 contains non-public and commercially sensitive information about Plaintiffs' customer list. The unredacted version of this document should remain under seal because it identifies a customer of Plaintiffs that operates in sensitive industries and whose contracts may be the subject of non-disclosure agreements. Exhibit 7 contains non-public information that is central to ongoing litigation in the Second Circuit Court of Appeals and the Delaware Court of Chancery. The unredacted version of this exhibit should remain under seal because the disclosure of this information is potentially prejudicial to parties in those actions.

We will also file a redacted Response to Defendant's Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts, the original version of which was filed under seal (ECF No. 59); this document includes Plaintiffs' Counterstatement of Additional Undisputed Material Facts, one fact of which is also requested to be filed under seal because it references Exhibit 7 (above).

February 3, 2023 Page 2

LATHAM&WATKINS LEP

We appreciate the Court's consideration of this request.

Respectfully,

Timothy J. McLaughlin (admitted pro hac vice)

_ fMhAll

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

cc: All Counsel of Record (via CM/ECF)